

Permutation representations of finite groups and association schemes (Part 8)

Akihiro Munemasa

August 18, 2018
G2R2, Novosibirsk

Open problem (Bannai–Ito, 1984)

Not all commutative association schemes are obtained as the set of 2-orbits of a multiplicity-free action.

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^d P_{ij} E_i \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, d).$$

Eigenvalues of A_i were denoted by P_{ij} , the entries of the first eigenmatrix:

$$(A_0, \dots, A_d) = (E_0, \dots, E_d)P.$$

Open Problem

Given a commutative association scheme \mathcal{R} , do the **entries of P** belong to a cyclotomic field?

“Yes” if $\mathcal{R} = \Omega^2/G$ for some (multiplicity-free) permutation group.

The pentagon has irrational eigenvalue

The dihedral group of order 10 acts on the pentagon.

$$A_0 = I, A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A_1 has eigenvalues 2 and θ_{\pm} , where

$$\theta_{\pm} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

$$(A_0, A_1, A_2) = (E_0, E_1, E_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & \theta_+ & \theta_- \\ 1 & \theta_- & \theta_+ \end{bmatrix}.$$

Automorphism group of a field

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) = \{a + b\sqrt{5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - 5)$$

has automorphism $\sigma : \sqrt{5} \mapsto -\sqrt{5}$. The adjacency algebra

$\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}] = \mathbb{F}[A_0, A_1, A_2] = \mathbb{F}[A_1] \subseteq M_5(\mathbb{F})$ admits an automorphism σ acting entrywise.

σ leaves A_0, A_1, A_2 invariant, but it interchanges E_1 and E_2 , since

$$(E_0, E_1, E_2) = \frac{1}{5}(A_0, A_1, A_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & \theta_+ & \theta_- \\ 1 & \theta_- & \theta_+ \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\text{Aut } \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}]$ permutes primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}]$.

What does $\sigma : \sqrt{5} \mapsto -\sqrt{5}$ permute?

$$(E_0, E_1, E_2) = \frac{1}{5}(A_0, A_1, A_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & \theta_+ & \theta_- \\ 1 & \theta_- & \theta_+ \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{5}(A_0, A_1, A_2)Q,$$
$$\implies \sigma(Q) = QS \quad (S \text{ is a permutation matrix}).$$

Similarly (?)

$$(A_0, A_1, A_2) = (E_0, E_1, E_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & \theta_+ & \theta_- \\ 1 & \theta_- & \theta_+ \end{bmatrix} = (E_0, E_1, E_2)P,$$
$$\implies \sigma(P) = PS.$$

For the first case, $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}]$, so σ induces a permutation on the set $\{E_0, E_1, E_2\}$.

Need a proper reasoning for the second case.

Automorphism of the adjacency algebra

In a commutative association scheme \mathcal{R} ,

$$\begin{aligned}(A_0, \dots, A_d) &= (E_0, \dots, E_d)P, \\ (E_0, \dots, E_d) &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|}(A_0, \dots, A_d)Q.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\{P_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq d\}) = \mathbb{Q}(\{Q_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq d\}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{F} &\implies \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}], \cdot) \implies \sigma \text{ permutes } \{E_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\} \\ &\implies \sigma(Q) = QS_1 \text{ for some permutation matrix } S_1. \\ ? &\implies \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}], \circ) \implies \sigma \text{ permutes } \{A_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\} \\ &\implies \sigma(P) = PS_2 \text{ for some permutation matrix } S_2.\end{aligned}$$

Automorphism of the adjacency algebra

$$\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow \sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}] \Rightarrow \sigma(E_i) = E_{i^x} \ (\exists x \in \text{Sym}(\{0, \dots, d\})).$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma' : \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}] &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}] \\ \sum_i c_i E_i &\mapsto \sum_i c_i \sigma(E_i) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Warning: } \sigma' \neq \sigma).$$

$$\text{If } q_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Q}, \ E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_k q_{ij}^k E_k,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'(E_i \circ E_j) &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_k q_{ij}^k \sigma(E_k) = \sigma \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_k q_{ij}^k E_k \right) \\ &= \sigma(E_i \circ E_j) = \sigma(E_i) \circ \sigma(E_j) \\ &= \sigma'(E_i) \circ \sigma'(E_j) \implies \sigma' \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}], \circ) \\ &\implies \sigma'(A_i) = A_{i^y} \ (\exists y \in \text{Sym}(\{0, \dots, d\})). \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}], \cdot) \text{ and } \sigma' \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}[\mathcal{R}], \circ)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(E_i) = E_{ix} &\implies \sigma(E_0, \dots, E_d) = (E_0, \dots, E_d)P_x^\top, \\ \sigma'(A_i) = A_{iy} &\implies \sigma'(A_0, \dots, A_d) = (A_0, \dots, A_d)P_y^\top.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\Omega|(E_0, \dots, E_d) &= (A_0, \dots, A_d)Q \\ \implies |\Omega|(E_0, \dots, E_d)P_x^\top &= (A_0, \dots, A_d)\sigma(Q) \\ \implies Q = \sigma(Q)P_x &\implies P = P_x^\top \sigma(P) \implies P_x P = \sigma(P),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(A_0, \dots, A_d) &= (E_0, \dots, E_d)P \\ \implies \sigma'(A_0, \dots, A_d) &= (\sigma(E_0, \dots, E_d))P \\ \implies (A_0, \dots, A_d)P_y^\top &= (E_0, \dots, E_d)P_x^\top P \\ \implies (A_0, \dots, A_d) &= (E_0, \dots, E_d)P_x^\top P P_y \\ \implies P &= P_x^\top P P_y \implies P_x P = P P_y \implies \sigma(P) = P P_y.\end{aligned}$$

Commutativity from $P_x P = \sigma(P) = P P_y$

$\forall \sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{F}, \exists x, y, z, w \in \text{Sym}(\{0, \dots, d\}),$

$$\sigma(P) = P_x P = P P_y,$$

$$\tau(P) = P_z P = P P_w.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\tau(P) &= \sigma(P_z P) = P_z \sigma(P) \\ &= P_z (P P_y) = (P_z P) P_y \\ &= \tau(P) P_y = \tau(P P_y) \\ &= \tau\sigma(P).\end{aligned}$$

$\text{Aut } \mathbb{F}$ is abelian.

The splitting field of an association scheme

For a commutative association scheme \mathcal{R} with the first eigenmatrix P , the field

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\{P_{ij} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq d\})$$

is called the **splitting field**, and $\text{Aut } \mathbb{F}$ is called the **Galois group**, also denoted by $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem (M. (1991))

If a commutative association scheme \mathcal{R} has **rational** Krein parameters, then the entries of the eigenmatrix belong to a cyclotomic field.

Proof.

Since $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ is **abelian**, the result follows from the Kronecker–Weber theorem (see the next slide).



The Kronecker–Weber theorem

Hilbert proved in 1896:

Theorem (Kronecker–Weber)

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} with $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ abelian. Then there exists a positive integer ℓ such that \mathbb{F} is isomorphic to a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta = \exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\ell}$.

Is it possible to show that, for a commutative association scheme with splitting field \mathbb{F} , $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ is abelian without assuming $q_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Q}$?

The same theorem in a different context

Theorem (M. (1991))

Let

\mathcal{R} = a commutative association scheme,

\mathbb{F} = the splitting field of \mathcal{R} ,

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(q_{ij}^k) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$.

Then

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{K}) \subseteq Z(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q})).$$

In particular, if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ is abelian, and hence \mathbb{F} is contained in a cyclotomic field.







Essentially the same statement was proved independently:
A. Coste and T. Gannon, Remarks on Galois symmetry in rational conformal field theories, Phys. Lett. B 323 (1994) 316–321.

Summary

- If $\mathcal{R} = \Omega^2/G$ is commutative, then the eigenvalues of adjacency matrices belong to a cyclotomic field.
- It is unknown whether the same statement holds for commutative association schemes in general.
- If $q_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Q}$, then this holds true.
- The Coxeter graph (anti flags of the Fano plane) is an association scheme with 28 points, rank 5.

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}).$$

References

-  E. Bannai and T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin/Cummings, 1984.
-  C. Curtis and T. Fossum, On centralizer rings and characters of representations of finite groups, Math. Z. 107 (1969), 402–406.
-  A. Munemasa, Splitting fields of association schemes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 57 (1991), 157–161.
-  P. Ribenboim, Algebraic Numbers, Wiley-Interscience, 1972.
-  L. Scott, Some properties of character products, J. Algebra 45 (1977), 259–265.
-  T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, et al., Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups, Cambridge University Press, 2010.